

***HARNES, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.***

*Attorneys and Counselors*  
11730 Plaza America Drive, Suite 600,  
Reston, Virginia 20190  
P.O. Box 8910, Reston, Virginia 20195  
Phone: (703) 668-8000  
Fax: (703) 668-8200

# Fax

To:	Examiner Shibu	From:	John Ambrose
Fax:	571-273-7329	Date:	August 31, 2010
Phone:	571-272-7329	Pages:	2 (including cover sheet)
Your Ref.:	10/716,629	Our Ref.:	46500-000558
Re:	Telephonic Interview	CC:	

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply

This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited. If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by collect call to (703) 668-8000, and send the original transmission to us by return mail at the address above.

COMMENTS:

**EXAMINER INTERVIEW AGENDA**

Application No.: 10/716,629

Time: *Not yet scheduled*

We plan on discussing the following:

**1) We plan on discussing the Examiner's interpretation of the following limitations of claim 1:** "the type information identifying whether reproduction being indicated by the at least one playitem is synchronized with reproduction being indicated by the at least one sub-playitem"

The above-referenced limitation is directed to type information that identifies whether synchronization exists between reproduction of items of content. One example would be synchronization between reproduction of audio data using the sub-playitem and reproduction of a still image using the playitem.

With respect to the aforementioned limitation the Examiner references column 6, lines 37-44 and column 5, lines 15-21 of DeMoney both of which discuss synchronization. However, the synchronization discussed in DeMoney is between playlists and an actual clock time, not between reproduction of playitems and sub-playitems (DeMoney: col. 1, lines 9-12). Accordingly, We would like a better understanding of how the Examiner is reading DeMoney to teach a type information which identifies synchronization between reproduction of playitems and reproduction of sub-playitems as claim 1 recites.

**2) We plan on discussing Examiner suggestions for amendments that can move prosecution forward..**

989391.1